

Project Status
NFSv4 Extensions for Performance and Interoperability
Center for Information Technology Integration

This is a report on the status of CITI's EMC-funded pNFS development project as of January 29, 2009. [Items marked in blue](#) reflect change from the November 21, 2008 report.

Sessions in the generic Linux pNFS client

The Linux Sessions implementation is a broad community effort, to which CITI contributes. Sessions code is currently being submitted to the Linux NFS maintainers, Bruce Fields and Trond Myklebust, consuming much of the attention leading Sessions implementers, Andy Adamson and Benny Halevy. As this proceeds, much of the remaining community effort is stalled while we wait for consensus. In particular, this affects our S1-4 and C1-5 tasks.

| Task | Description | Status |
|--------------------|--|--|
| S1 | Session recovery. | This task was complete, but will be revisited when the Sessions integration is complete. |
| S2 | Callback channel. | This task was complete, but will be revisited when the Sessions integration is complete. |
| S3 | NFSv4.1 back channel security using machine credentials. | <p>To provide for back channel security, we added support for machine credentials in the SETCLIENTID call. This makes it possible for the callback client to establish a secure channel to the corresponding principal on the callback server. Patches were committed to Linux 2.6.26-rc1.</p> <p>The 4.1 GSS security framework on the backchannel is being rewritten by Ricardo Labiaga (NetApp).</p> <p>We are working on extending the RPC upcall mechanism so that the callback client can acquire appropriate credentials from gssd. Patches were posted to the linux-nfs mailing list and are under discussion.</p> |
| S4 | NFSv4.1 security using secret state verifiers. | <p>We now have a working Python implementation to test against.</p> <p>See Appendix II for details on SSV progress.</p> |

Other generic pNFS client issues

| Task | Description | Status |
|--------------------|---|--|
| C1 | LAYOUTGET, LAYOUTRETURN, and CB_LAYOUTRECALL. | <p>LAYOUTGET and LAYOUTRETURN are complete.</p> <p>We need to address a layering issue: the generic layer is unable to merge adjacent or overlapping layouts, so it sends more LAYOUTGET requests than it needs to. The block layer handles this under the covers, but it would be more efficient to merge them in the generic layer.</p> <p>We have a general framework and a draft implementation of CB_LAYOUTRECALL. Testing with the LSI server exposed some issues related to draining pending I/O in the generic session code; addressing the issue is deferred while the code stabilizes.</p> <p>We are currently preparing to test with the new EMC image that uses CB_LAYOUTRECALL.</p> |
| C2 | CB_RECALL_ANY, RECLAIM_COMPLETE, and CB_RECALLABLE_OBJ_AVAIL. | No progress to report. (So far, the NFSv4.1 development community is deferring work on these non-critical elements.) |

| Task | Description | Status |
|-------------|---|---|
| C3 | Integration of block layout requirements into generic client. | This task is under way and ongoing. The main pNFS branch now includes appropriate hooks for the block driver in the write path. |
| C4 | Implement new NFSv4.1 draft 19–21 pNFS features and behavior. | <p>Layout stateid is under active development in the NFSv4.1 development community, with Andy Adamson (NetApp) leading the way.</p> <p>Basic stateid functionality exists, but further work is deferred pending Sessions integration.</p> <p>Device notification is under active development in the pNFS development community, with Marc Eshel (IBM) leading the development activity. Draft rewrites have simplified this task considerably by eliminating the ADD operation. XDR formats have been worked out and we have an initial implementation of the generic client and server processing code.</p> <p>Generic code exists to handle DELETE notify, but not CHANGE notify.</p> |
| C5 | Reboot recovery. | This task was nearly complete, until the NFS maintainers simplified state management in Linux 2.6.29, so the reboot recovery interfaces must now be revisited. |

Block layout module

| Task | Description | Status |
|-------------|---|---|
| B1 | Rebase the implementation from block draft 3 to block draft 6. | We are at draft 12, which was approved by the IESG as a proposed standard, so this task is complete. |
| B2 | Extend the block layout implementation to support large server block sizes. | This task is complete. |
| B3 | Block layout client implementation based on architectural review. | We are conducting final review and integration of Tang Haiying's user-space disk scanning code, which replaces CITI's kernel-based prototype. Haiying's code recursively constructs a logical volume in user space and passes that information to the kernel. |
| B4 | Support for complex volume topologies using the Linux device mapper (dm) needs to be reviewed to meet performance and quality requirements. | We are ready to begin comprehensive testing of Haiying's code. |
| B5 | Extend the layout cache implementation to support at least two devices. | We have a working implementation that needs further testing. |
| B6 | Extend the device mapper to support the asynchronous CB_NOTIFY_DEVICEID callback operation. | Block-specific device notification depends on generic device notification, which now exists (Task C4). We are ready to begin work on this task. |
| B7 | The block layout client must implement a timed lease I/O fencing mechanism to insulate against network partition. | We are reviewing Haiying's code for this task. |

PyNFS

| Task | Description | Status |
|-----------|---|--|
| P1 | Update PyNFS client and server to support new protocol features in the latest drafts. | The PyNFS client and server now support the latest drafts (minorversion1 draft 29 and pnfs-block draft 12). |
| P2 | Enhance the block server implementation to pass full Connectathon tests. | The PyNFS server passes all Connectathon NFSv4 and non-pNFS NFSv4.1 tests except for the large file test. We now have a prototype implementation of a “real” file system that supports read, write, and file creation. |

Milestone summary

The following tasks were projected to be complete by the May 2008 Connectathon.

| Task | Description | Status |
|-----------|--|-----------------------------|
| S1 | Session recovery | Complete, revisiting |
| S2 | Callback channel implementation | Complete, revisiting |
| B1 | Block layout draft 6 | Complete |
| B2 | Server block sizes greater than 4 KB | Complete |
| B3 | Revisit block layout client implementation based on architectural review | Nearly complete |

The following tasks are projected to be complete by the Fall 2008 Bakeathon.

| Task | Description | Status |
|-----------|---|------------------------|
| S3 | Back channel security using machine credentials | Under way |
| C1 | LAYOUTGET, LAYOUTRETURN, and CB_LAYOUTRECALL | Nearly complete |
| C2 | CB_RECALL_ANY, RECLAIM_COMPLETE, CB_RECALLABLE_OBJ_AVAIL | No progress |
| P1 | PyNFS block client and server support latest drafts | Complete |
| P2 | PyNFS block server passes full Connectathon tests, prototype file system. | Nearly complete |

The following tasks are projected to be under way by the Fall 2008 Bakeathon.

| Task | Description | Status |
|-----------|--|------------------------|
| C3 | Integration of block layout requirements into the generic client | Ongoing |
| C4 | Draft 19–21 pNFS features and behavior. See Appendix for status. | Under way |
| B4 | Complex volume topologies | Nearly complete |
| B5 | Copy-on-write | Nearly complete |

The remaining tasks are projected to be complete by the end of the project.

| Task | Description | Status |
|-----------|---|-----------------------------|
| S4 | NFSv4.1 security using secret state verifiers | Under way |
| C5 | Reboot recovery | Complete, revisiting |
| B6 | CB_NOTIFY_DEVICEID | Under way |
| B7 | Timed lease I/O fencing mechanism | Nearly complete |

Appendix I

This table is a partial list of organizations and engineers who are contributing to NFSv4.1 and pNFS.

| Organization | People | Role |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--|
| CITI | Bruce Fields | Code review |
| | Peter Honeyman | Advisory |
| | Fred Isaman | pNFS block layout client PyNFS NFSv4.1 test suite PyNFS pNFS block client and server |
| | Olga Kornievskaia | SSV GSSAPI Long-haul WAN performance for NFSv4.1 |
| | David Richter | Directory delegation |
| DESY | Tigran Mkrtchyan | pNFS file layout server for dCache in Java pNFS wireshark module |
| EMC | Richard Chandler | pNFS block layout architecture pNFS Celerra implementation |
| | Daniele Gardere | NFSv4.1 |
| | Jean-Loiuis Rochette | pNFS and delegation implementation |
| | Haiying Tang | pNFS block layout client |
| | Mario Wurzl | Advisory |
| IBM | Marc Eshel Dean Hildebrand | pNFS file layout client Generic pNFS client and server |
| NetApp | Andy Adamson | Generic pNFS client and server |
| | Ricardo Labiaga Mike Sager | NFSv4.1 sessions client and server |
| | Dan Muntz | pNFS file layout server on linux |
| | Trond Myklebust | Code review |
| | Tom Talpey | Advisory |
| Panasas | Benny Halevy | pNFS generic client and server pNFS OSD layout client and server |

Appendix II: Notes on SSV

NFSv4.1 clients and servers need a secure way to manage state so that a malicious or errant client can not interfere with another client's state management operations, e.g., Alice should not be able to close Bob's open files. One way to provide this level of security is with "machine credentials" such as a Kerberos keytab or a Public Key Certificate, however these credentials must be manufactured in advance, which is not always convenient. The SSV GSSAPI¹ mechanism supports secure state management without prearrangement. Furthermore, the SSV mechanism provides a finer granularity for protection by preventing clients on the same host from interfering with one another.

An SSV (or Secret State Verifier) is a unique secret key shared by an NFSv4.1 client and server that serves as the secret key for the SSV GSS mechanism. As with any GSSAPI mechanism, the SSV GSSAPI implementation includes methods for key agreement, for integrity protection, and for privacy protection. SSV is unusual in that it does not have a context establishment method; instead security context is established with the NFSv4.1 EXCHANGE_ID and SET_SSV operations.

CITI has developed a Python implementation of SSV that we use to test the ongoing Linux SSV implementation. At this writing, no other SSV implementations exist, to our knowledge.

In CITI's Linux SSV implementation, we have a working SET_SSV operation on the client and have implemented GSS_GetMIC and GSS_VerifyMIC functions for Message Integrity Checking. We are currently working on the GSS_Wrap and GSS_UnWrap functions. Some work remains:

- Server side Set_SSV operation
- Multiple versions of SSV
- Multi-page data input to the SSV GSS functions
- Invoking Set_SSV for every new principal

¹ Linn, J. 2000. Generic Security Service Application Program Interface Version 2, Update 1. RFC 2078. The Internet Society.